

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/702,349	BEDARD ET AL.
	Examiner Theresa T. Snider	Art Unit 3723
All Participants: (1) <u>Theresa T. Snider</u> . (2) <u>Richard S. Wesorick</u> .	Status of Application: (3) _____ (4) _____	
Date of Interview: <u>25 October 2007</u>	Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic. <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: 1-34		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-34		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED See Continuation Sheet		
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Wesorick of allowability of claims 1-34. Mr. Wesorick agreed to change 'axle apparatus' to 'plurality of axle members', as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to properly correlate with the proposed amended claims. Mr. Wesorick agreed to change 'an elbow' to 'said elbow' in claim 20 because 'an elbow' was already provided in independent claim 19, the claim from which claim 20 depends.